Abstract Classes and Interfaces

Abstract Methods

 A company has two kinds of employees – hourly workers who work 40 hours a week at a certain wage per hour, and salaried workers who work for an annual salary. The hourly workers get paid every week; the salaried workers once a month -- let's say every fourth week. I want to write a system that has a list of the company's employees; each week it runs through the list looking at each employee's data and printing a statement about how much that person should be paid.

How do we arrange the classes to make this easy?

 Answer: Make a parent class Employee , with subclasses HourlyWorker and SalariedWorker.
The staff list can be an ArrayList<Employee>

• Our payEveryone method will have a loop like this:

for (Employee x: staffList)

(<cast x into its right type).pay()</pre>

- If we give Employee a pay() method that the two subclasses override, then we don't have to cast the list variable into appropriate subclass; the runtime environment will call the subclass's method automatically.
- What body do we give the the pay() method in class Employee?

- Answer: we DON'T give it a body. This company has no generic employees, so we should never construct an element of the employee class. We make pay() an *abstract* method of the Employee class, which makes the class itself abstract.
 - The declaration in the abstract class is

public abstract void pay();

- An abstract class must be extended by subclasses that override its abstract methods.
- A class is abstract (and must be declared as such) if it has at least one abstract method.

- See example:
- Class Employee, SalariedWorker, HourlyWorker and StaffExample

- Advantages of abstract classes:
- 1. They provide a common parent class for similar but distinct classes.
- 2. They force the subclasses to instantiate essential methods.
- 3. They allow the compiler to catch things like typing errors and spelling mistakes.

Interfaces

- Here is a similar problem. I have a bunch of classes with different properties. A superclass of them does not make sense. But I still want to be able to make a list of objects of these classes and do a common operation, such as Print, to each of these objects.
- A bad solution is to take each object in the list, cast it into its native type, and run the operation on it.

 A better solution is to make an *interface* that contains an abstract declaration for the common method, and to force each class to *implement* the interface. • Here is a simple interface declaration:

public interface Printable { void Print();

ł